

SEISMIC

Confidential finance: developer convenience meets hardware trust

suglat02 | Research snapshot: 28 September 2026

01 Problem / Question

Can familiar EVM tooling make confidential applications practical without concealing the security dependencies or an unproven token investment case?

02 Data & Evidence

Primary evidence	What it establishes / what it does not
Architecture [1]	An EVM-compatible L1 with shielded Solidity types and Intel TDX enclaves. This documents a design, not measured production safety.
Network [2]	Official testnet configuration lists chain ID 5124, an RPC, faucet and explorer. Uptime and mainnet readiness were not independently tested.
Code [3]	Public seismic-alloy repository exposes SDK/transaction tooling. Repository visibility is not proof of audit coverage or adoption.
Team & backing [4]	a16z names founder Lyrion Co Ting Keh and announced an investment on 6 March 2025. This verifies an attributed identity and funding signal; the investor has an interest in the project.

03 Interpretation / Security boundary

The docs say calldata is decrypted within enclaves; attested peers receive the root key. Sender, gas and target-contract metadata remain visible. Approved-code bugs and side channels remain risks; low-entropy shielded values can also be guessed against public commitments [1].

My assessment: familiar tooling may lower integration effort, but diligence must examine key rotation, approved-code governance, recovery after compromise and privacy tests. I did not validate a Seismic-specific audit against deployed code. Confidential computation alone does not establish customer demand.

04 Eligibility screen / Limited conclusion

Reviewed primary materials [1-4] did not establish a prior public token raise, active ICO/TGE or competitor-launchpad commitment. Absence from these sources is not proof of absence. Seismic has received investment [4], so it is not pre-funding. Treat it as a conditional pre-public-raise candidate; obtain current official confirmation before claiming quest eligibility.

SEISMIC

Token economics: separate known facts from stress-test assumptions

suglat02 | Research snapshot: 28 September 2026

05 Tokenomics / Disclosure gaps

The reviewed sources do not establish a native-token price, supply cap, circulating amount, allocations, team/treasury vesting, emissions policy, token contract or next three dated unlocks. Actual FDV and circulating market cap are **not calculable**. Testnet assets do not supply a valuation. These are research gaps, not zero values.

06 Unlock & Dilution / Illustrative model only

NOT Seismic tokenomics. Assume 1bn fixed total tokens, 80m initial float and a \$0.20 price: FDV = \$200m; circulating cap = \$16m; ratio = 12.5x. Compare a 160m allocation fully unlocked in month 6 with 24 monthly releases starting month 7 (6.667m each). No other releases or minting.

Relative date	Full cliff: float	Linear: float
Month 6	240m (+200% vs initial)	80m (+0%)
Month 9	240m (+200%)	100m (+25%)
Month 12	240m (+200%)	120m (+50%)

At a constant \$16m circulating cap, month-12 implied prices are \$0.0667 (cliff) and \$0.1333 (linear). This is sensitivity analysis, not a price forecast or an assumption that all unlocked tokens sell. Separately, hypothetical 2% annual minting grows 1bn to 1.0404bn in two years; an unrewarded holder's supply share falls 3.88%. Unlocks release existing supply; minting increases total supply.

07 Key risks / Conclusion

Technical: hardware and key-management failures; compiler or application leaks. **Execution:** maintenance and adoption may lag documentation. **Governance:** verify who approves node builds and controls upgrades. **Incentives:** value capture and insider liquidity remain unknown. **Regulatory:** assess each financial application's obligations; no legal conclusion is made here.

Watchlist, not an investment-ready case. Require confirmed eligibility, deployed-code audit scope and remediation, measurable application usage, and enforceable token/vesting disclosures. Reassess on verified leaks, undisclosed minting privileges or a public-sale event that breaches quest rules.

08 Disclosures

suglat02 reports no position, investment, paid promotion or planned buying/selling. Prepared for a Republic quest offering 50 VP after approval; this is an incentive. Desk research only; no independent code audit or network benchmark.

Primary sources / Click to verify

- 1 Seismic architecture
- 2 Seismic testnet
- 3 Seismic Systems: seismic-alloy
- 4 a16z: Investing in Seismic (6 Mar 2025)